ANECDOtal Evidence: The Work of Gerald Saul
Regina filmmaker Gerald Saul has been making films for over 30 years, creating more than 300 films and videos since the 1980s. Saul isn’t just a prolific filmmaker, however. He’s also made important contributions to the Saskatchewan filmmaking community as a board member, teacher, curator, and writer whose column, “The Long and Tall of It,” has been a feature of the Saskatchewan Filmpool Cooperative’s Splice Magazine for decades. The focus of Anecdotal Evidence: The Work of Gerald Saul, though, is the body of work Saul has created in film, video, and photography over the past three decades. “One cannot discuss Western Canadian experimental film without mentioning Gerald Saul,” writes Troy Rhoades, who curated a mid-career retrospective screening of Saul’s work in Edmonton in 2003. “His eclectic range of short films,” Rhoades continues, “has made Saul one of the most creative filmmakers on the Canadian Prairies.” Saul’s inclusion by Strandline Curatorial Collective and Christine Ramsay as Station 6 of the Regina-based transnational exhibition series Meet in the Middle: Stations of Migration and Memory Between Art and Film celebrates this eclectic Saskatchewan talent and profiles him in an international curatorial context.

“... this approach [to art/film making] reflects my assertion that we understand one another through our stories and that each of our stories is important.”

- Gerald Saul

1. Regina filmmaker Gerald Saul has been making films for over 30 years, creating more than 300 films and videos since the 1980s. Saul isn’t just a prolific filmmaker, however. He’s also made important contributions to the Saskatchewan filmmaking community as a board member, teacher, curator, and writer whose column, “The Long and Tall of It,” has been a feature of the Saskatchewan Filmpool Cooperative’s Splice Magazine for decades. The focus of Anecdotal Evidence: The Work of Gerald Saul, though, is the body of work Saul has created in film, video, and photography over the past three decades. “One cannot discuss Western Canadian experimental film without mentioning Gerald Saul,” writes Troy Rhoades, who curated a mid-career retrospective screening of Saul’s work in Edmonton in 2003. “His eclectic range of short films,” Rhoades continues, “has made Saul one of the most creative filmmakers on the Canadian Prairies.” Saul’s inclusion by Strandline Curatorial Collective and Christine Ramsay as Station 6 of the Regina-based transnational exhibition series Meet in the Middle: Stations of Migration and Memory Between Art and Film celebrates this eclectic Saskatchewan talent and profiles him in an international curatorial context.
While Saul acknowledges that some of his films are "abstract or even non-representational in nature," he describes himself as a storyteller in an artist's statement posted on his website. "I gravitate to fictional or autobiographical storytelling," he writes, although he admits that the way he tells stories has moved away from traditional cinematic narratives toward alternative, experimental approaches. "Many of these films 'stories,'" he continues, "use layers of voice, superimposed layers of text or images, and rapidly-cut film to construct a dense visual and aural territory that challenge[s] the viewer to reflect upon the structure of memory." In other words, even if Saul's films might look and feel like experimental or poetic work, he would argue that they are actually stories—often autobiographical ones. "This approach," he suggests, "reflects my assertion that we understand one another through our stories and that each of our stories is important." Some of the autobiographical stories Saul tells are traumatic, such as *The Thin Letter* (2003), the third installment of the *Toxic* cycle, which explores an illness Saul went through a decade ago. Others, like *Poppa (Toxic 2)* (2002), are about happier experiences, such as fatherhood. In fact, many of Saul's films, like the *Mr. Saul* cycle (2005–2015) or *Matchbox Weekend* (2015), are comic reflections on a variety of topics, ranging from family life to film history. It's essential not to miss the importance of comedy in Saul's work: much of it—the online video series *How To Be An Experimental Filmmaker* (2008), for example—is laugh-out-loud funny.

2. Most of Saul's work, with the exception of his site-specific film loops, which are not included in *Anecdotal Evidence*, was originally intended to be screened in theatres. Negotiating the shift from what Andrew V. Uroskie calls "the kind of distance and mobility promoted by the dematerialised image within the cinematic theatre’s black box" to "the proximity and material presence of the material object within the art gallery’s brightly lit white cube" has been an interesting curatorial and artistic challenge. One response to this challenge would have been to simply transform the white cube of the gallery into the black box of the theatre by turning down the lights and projecting a selection of Saul's films in the gallery space. However, as recent curatorial thinking and practice on expanded cinema and the relationship between art and film suggest, there is a phenomenological difference between watching films in a theatre and seeing them projected in an art gallery. In a theatre, watching a film is a collective experience. We sit in a theatre with other people to watch a film. In a gallery, however, watching a film or video is often closer to an individual experience: sometimes you are the only person in the gallery engaged in watching a film (particularly if you are expected to don headphones to listen to the soundtrack). Watching a film in a theatre is also an experience of linearity: the film begins at its beginning and proceeds until it ends. In a gallery, in contrast, films and videos typically run on a loop, meaning that you might begin watching the film at any point and leave before it ends.
finishes. So the translation from theatre to gallery is not as straightforward as it might at first appear.

In some ways, the relative isolation of viewing a film in the context of an art gallery is closer to the experience of watching a DVD or a streaming service at home, except that in your own living room you always have a greater degree of control over the material: you can rewind or fast forward or pause what you are watching at any time. Since the birth of his son William fourteen years ago, most of Saul’s film viewing has taken place at home. The pair have been spending their Friday nights working through the classics of international cinema together. No doubt that’s how most of us watch films these days.

*Anecdotal Evidence* alludes to this viewing context by placing a couch in front of the screen where *Wheat Soup* (1987), which Saul co-directed with Regina filmmaker Brian Stockton, is being shown.

The curators of this retrospective, Strandline Curatorial Collective and Christine Ramsay, decided not to simply turn the gallery into a theatre, however. Instead, they studied Saul’s approach carefully and conceived of treating the exhibition as “an archive of a creative process that can be read as a gesture in ‘cinematic note-taking.’” They worked with Saul and together decided to take the opportunity afforded by this retrospective to perform an archaeological dig of sorts, enabling him to revisit and reimagine the films he considers to be the most important in the body of work he has created. For example, in preparation for making his 1992 film *Dread*, Saul used an answering machine to record messages in which callers talked about their fears and anxieties. As time went on, Saul discovered that more and more people were calling the “Dread line”; in a pre-web way, the telephone line had gone viral. Some of those messages ended up in the soundtrack of the film; the rest remained on the original cassettes, stored in boxes in Saul’s basement and then his campus office. Two years ago, Saul digitized all 30 hours of those tapes. *Dread Revisited* gives visitors to the gallery an opportunity to listen to a selection of that material, using MP3 players connected to old-fashioned rotary telephones (like the ones callers to the “Dread line” would have used 25 years ago).

Other revisitings or reimaginings of past film projects are also part of *Anecdotal Evidence*. For the 1990 film *Angst*, for example, Saul has returned to the locations where the film was shot and created three-dimensional still photographs using a vintage stereoscopic camera—images which must be viewed through a special stereoscopic viewer in order to get the full three-dimensional effect. Saul has also used a microscope to photograph parts of individual frames from his Super 8 cycle *25 Short Films In and About Saskatchewan* (1995–1999). Twenty-five large prints of those images are on display in the gallery. Saul is interested in incongruous relationships of size; it amuses him to make large-scale...
blow-ups from such tiny source material (Super 8 is one of the smallest film gauges ever manufactured). He is also interested in moving-image technologies that predate the cinema; for Anecdotal Evidence, he returned to his feature-length film Life is Like Lint (1999), restaging part of the film before a moving panorama and recording the result with a video camera. None of this rethinking or reimagining would have been possible but for the opportunity this retrospective has provided.

3. The presence of these reimaginations in this retrospective doesn’t mean that the films themselves have been excluded from the gallery. Visitors to Anecdotal Evidence have the opportunity to compare a sample of Saul’s films against the way he’s rethought them for the exhibition: Angst, Dread, Doubt (1997) and the Toxic cycle (2002–2004) are being projected on plexiglass screens hanging in the gallery. Angst is an unusual love story about a relationship between a vampire and a student filmmaker; it is, as Saul says near the beginning of the film, a meditation on pain, loneliness, and love. Dread is an exploration of fear; it is prefaced by its own short subject, a rotoscoped study of a dancer made by Saul’s life partner and collaborator, Margaret Bessai. Saul’s thesis film at York University in Toronto, Doubt, begins as an abstract narrative about a young man (wearing a lab coat) in a forest; partway through, however, the film shifts to an explanation (in a fictional telephone conversation between Saul and an unknown interlocutor) of what we’ve just seen. As well as being an example of a self-interpreting film, Doubt is also one of Saul’s first experiments in hand-processing motion-picture film. Finally, the Toxic cycle is a kind of hand-processed autobiography. Other short films are being screened on monitors elsewhere in the gallery. The autobiographical nature of much of Saul’s work is a paradox; while he’s more interested in telling other people’s stories, he often returns to telling his own, typically in a displaced form.

4. Anecdotal Evidence uses many examples of obsolete technologies. Some of these are the predigital technology of Saul’s childhood (rotary telephones, an antique television); others are survivals from an earlier era (stereoscopic viewers, a video remake of Life is Like Lint using a panorama as a background). This obsolescence is important and meaningful. Much of Saul’s film work has been created on moving-image technologies that were manufactured before the advent of digital technology, particularly 16mm and Super 8 film. In other words, much of Saul’s work, to some degree, meditates on the ruins of the cinema. It is based in a medium, analogue film—defined as strips of celluloid covered in emulsion with sprocket holes on the side—that is now essentially obsolete. According to American experimental filmmaker Hollis Frampton, “no activity can become an art until its proper...
25 Short Films In and About Saskatchewan (film stills), 1995–1999, Super 8, 80 minutes
epoch has ended and it has dwindled, as an aid to gut survival, into total obsolescence.” Analogical filmmaking may have reached that point in its evolution. Erika Balsom claims that the presence of film—particularly 16mm film installations—within art galleries “may be seen as an attempt for film to take shelter in the privileged and relatively autonomous zone of art, staking out the region as a new site of cinema after the end of its dominance as a form of mass culture.” As Frampton’s comment suggests, however, film is not the only medium that has found new life inside art galleries. George Baker writes, “The obsolescent, the ‘outmoded,’ the nonsynchronous, discarded forms, marginal mediums: all of these seem to be resources of special interest to many of the most interesting artistic projects today.” Film is one of those media, but it is certainly not the only one.

None of this is intended to suggest that Saul is some kind of Luddite; after all, much of the work presented as part of Anecdotal Evidence has been made or prepared for exhibition using digital technologies: a high-end, 4K RED video camera; digital cameras; MP3 players; digital editing software; and laser printers. But, still, the ruins of cinema as a medium are nonetheless on display here (and, by extension, the ruins of other predigital technologies). Not coincidentally, these predigital technologies are also relics from the world of Saul’s childhood and early adulthood. They are memories made tangible.

Like many artists, Saul is a collector: among other things, he makes and collects artists’ trading cards. For Anecdotal Evidence, he has made a collection of imaginary objects from the past that feature his robot character, Canister: games, a colouring book, trading cards, an LP record, a paperback book. Had Canister existed as a pop culture figure in the 1960s and 1970s, this material is precisely the kind of thing that would have been produced for kids enthralled by their mechanical hero.

Of course, Canister didn’t really exist. He is a character Saul created some years ago for a course he was teaching at the University of Regina. Canister’s costume is simple: black trousers, a black turtleneck, an ice-cream pail with a viewing slit (covered with coloured acetate). Anyone can therefore perform the role; the only significant differences between the various embodiments of Canister are their varying heights.

Saul has constructed a dense network of narratives around the figure of Canister. These narratives arguably are a way of reanimating Saul’s own childhood experiences, with his son William as both creative partner and inspiration. I see a distinction between the multiple layers of Saul’s earlier work and the more straightforward Canister stories, which inevitably involve Canister battling one of his arch enemies—often Professor Delusia, a mad scientist character (another of Saul’s avatars) who may...
1. Angst (film stills), 1990, film, 30 minutes
2. Dread (film stills), 1992, film, 25 minutes
3. Doubt (film stills), 1997, film, 18 minutes
4. Toxic (film stills), 2002-2004, 6 film cycle, 16mm, 30 minutes
(or may not) be connected to Saul’s interest in hand-processed film. But at the same time Canister is at the centre of a rich imaginative world. Canister is an alien, an invader from another planet. He exists in different times; he has an identity crisis; he becomes a god after a black hole explodes inside his head. Saul is enthusiastic about making films that feature Canister; the character has taken a central role in his creative imagination.

Videos featuring Canister are screened on an antique television in the gallery; the age of the television is an allusion to Saul’s childhood memories and the kinds of stories children watched on television in the 1960s and 1970s.

6. Another echo of childhood memories in Saul’s work is his interest in puppets. I always associate puppets with childhood, perhaps because of the television shows featuring puppets that I watched when I was a child, or because I remember spending rainy afternoons making puppets out of socks and buttons. The puppets that Saul and Bessai have created are many steps above what I used to come up with on those long-ago afternoons. These artful and comic puppets are an important part of Saul’s work, including the short video The Golem of Socks (1995), Life is Like Lint, and the yetunfinished Sockville, which had its genesis as a project involving Saul’s film students. Some of the puppets from The Golem of Socks reappear in Sockville. For Saul, puppets create aesthetic distance; they enable him to tell stories that are more excessive, more absurd, than he would be able to tell with human actors, and puppetry is something he is increasingly interested in exploring.

7. The ice-cream pail that constitutes Canister’s head alludes to another aspect of Saul’s filmmaking: hand-processing—that is, developing motion-picture film in a darkroom, in a series of plastic buckets. Saul has been researching hand-processing for more than 20 years. Through hand-processing, filmmakers can develop film in different ways. They can create a negative or positive print. They can solarize the film, exposing it to light in the developer so that the image becomes both positive and negative. They can use coffee instead of traditional developing chemistry, or use chemistry intended for other film stocks. They can develop film at different temperatures and use more or less agitation, creating different image textures. They can tint and tone the film using dyes. Saul even hand-processes colour film—a much more complex process than black-and-white developing. This interest in hand-processing is part of Saul’s interest in what filmmaker Helen Hill calls “handcrafted” filmmaking.11
Hand-processing, as filmmaker Chris Gehman argues, is “an artisanal mode of filmmaking—one in which the artist works directly on every stage of a film, from shooting and editing to the processing and printing of the film stock itself.” Gehman points out that hand-processing gives filmmakers “direct control of their materials, motivated by a combination of necessity and curiosity,” and that is true—although hand-processing is also a situation where happy accidents can occur. There is, after all, no way to plan what part of a 100-foot roll of film will be solarized, or whether the film will be developed consistently throughout its length, or where the emulsion might be damaged or scratched from being agitated by hand in the bucket of developer. That lack of control, the sense that you don’t know exactly how the film will turn out, is one of the attractions of hand-processing.

Saul, who has attended filmmaker Phil Hoffman’s Independent Imaging Retreat near Mount Forest, Ontario, a week-long hand-processing workshop, is fascinated by hand-processing, as the Toxic cycle, Modern (2013), and the Grain cycle (2009-2014) indicate. Hand-processing is an ongoing series of experiments, a process of trial and error, and Saul carefully keeps notes about what he did (the temperature of the chemistry, the length of time the film was in the developer) and what the results were. The notebooks on display as part of Anecdotal Evidence are therefore not just sources of plans and ideas for film projects; they also constitute a record of what happened in the darkroom. In his white lab coat (stained by years of exposure to developer and photographic bleach), Saul becomes a kind of artistic mad scientist, not unlike his alter ego Professor Delusia, plotting new ways of creating moving images.

8. Anecdotal Evidence shows a filmmaker at the height of his powers, experimenting with a variety of media, exploring a range of aesthetic and material possibilities. And it is only able to do that because it brings Saul’s work into a new kind of presentation space: the art gallery.
ENDNOTES


2. Meet in the Middle: Stations of Migration and Memory Between Art and Film is a durational series of exhibitions and events taking place in Regina between 2014 and 2017 on the theme of migration, memory, and trauma. It creates a special relationship between artists and curators from Saskatchewan and Armenia—both relatively isolated geographical areas with histories of migration, memory, and trauma—and features the lens-based installations of renowned Canadian-Armenian filmmaker Atom Egoyan. www.mitmproject.info.


5. Gerald Saul, “Gerald Saul, Artist Statement.”
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GERALD SAUL is a Regina-based filmmaker, educator, curator and writer who holds an MFA in film production from York University (Toronto). His first forays into film making involved shooting 16mm with his childhood friends. Over his career he has worked across forms, from 16mm feature drama to animation and ultra low budget processes. He continues to experiment, using old and new media, often with his son. Key works include Wheat Soup (1987), Life is Like Lint (1999), 25 Short Films In and About Saskatchewan (1999) and the Toxic cycle (2003).

www.geraldsaul.com
Select Filmography:
Consister the Robot, 2009–2016, multi-film, multi-media
Modern, 2013, film, 40 minutes
Sockville, 2010–2016, digital, 60 minutes
Mr. Saul's Digital Utopia, 2010–2015, 28 digital cycle, 54 minutes
Grain, 2009–2014, 5 film cycle, 45 minutes
Mr. Saul’s Utopia, 2005–2009, 5 Super 8 film cycle, 32 minutes
Toxic, 2002–2004, 16mm, 6 film cycle, 30 minutes
Life is Like Lint, 1999, film, 80 minutes
Double, 1997, film, 18 minutes
25 Short Films In and About Saskatchewan, 1995–1999, Super 8, 80 minutes
Dread, 1992, film, 25 minutes
Angst, 1990, film, 30 minutes
Wheat Soup, 1987, film, 75 minutes, co-director with Brian Stockton
The Mundane Acts, 1986–2004, 6 video sketches, 28 minutes
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Anecdotal Evidence: The Work of Gerald Saul represents Station 6 of the larger durational exhibition Meet in the Middle: Stations of Migration and Memory Between Art and Film, taking place from 2014 to 2017 in Regina, Saskatchewan. Meet in the Middle is conceived as a series of way stations connected by common concerns underlying the historical and contemporary dynamics of global migration, memory and trauma that enable artists and audiences to encounter, intersect, reflect, locate and relocate themselves relative to these experiences at the intersection of art and film. The project includes a special focus on creating a dialogue between Saskatchewan and Armenia, two equally isolated geographical areas with shared traumatic histories. In the broader context of Meet in the Middle, Regina becomes a cross-cultural meeting ground for the examination of these themes in both their political and personal manifestations, creating a collaborative hub for shared creative futures. Featured artists include Atom Egoyan (Canada), Berny Hi (Canada), Shirin Neshat (USA), Kathryn Ricketts (Canada), Gerald Saul (Canada) and Mkrtich Tonoyan (Armenia).

Anecdotal Evidence is also significant as it marks a career retrospective. Saul has been an important avant-garde filmmaker on the Regina arts and culture scene with a prolific output. His 300 short films and videos produced over the past three decades offer an eclectic contribution to lens-based storytelling in Canada, existing between dramatic, experimental and animated forms, and in recent years incorporating inter-media, puppetry and performance. The exhibition is designed to contextualize Saul’s decidedly personal, autobiographical meditations on self, anxiety, memory, trauma and the meaning of place and belonging in the Saskatchewan prairies, within and against Meet in the Middle’s larger global context, movements, and themes, and to showcase the work of an imitable Saskatchewan artist at the height of his creative powers.
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